## WHITLEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS STAFF REPORT

24-W-VAR-8

**DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE** 

Daniel & Mary Heckman 1660 E. Poplar Road

MAY 28, 2024 AGENDA ITEM: 1

## **SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL**

Current zoning: LR, Lake Residential

0.16 Acres Property area:

The petitioners, owners of the subject property, are requesting a development standards variance of the required rear setback for construction of a new shed on the property located at 1660 E. Poplar Road, in Section 2 of Thorncreek Township. The property is currently improved with a dwelling with attached garage and two sheds.

As proposed, a new 10'x16' shed would be located where the existing 8'x10' shed is, but nearer the road right-of-way. Per the submitted site plan, the proposed shed has a 5.7'± setback from the right-of-way line, which is 0.6' closer than the existing dwelling. The other proposed setbacks meet the code minimums.

Since this lot has lake frontage, rear setback standards apply to the road side. The required minimum rear setback is 15'. Thus, requested is a variance of 9.3'± from the code requirement.

For reference, based on the Best Available map information and topography, the structure should not be located in the regulatory floodplain.

## **REVIEW CRITERIA**

Indiana Code §36-7-4-918.5 and Section 10.10 of the Zoning Code state the criteria listed below upon which the Board must base its review. Staff's comments/proposed findings of fact under each criterion.

1. The approval will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community;

The proposed variances will not likely be injurious to the public safety, health, and morals as the proposed setback generally matches the setbacks of existing structures along the lakefront, and generally adequate room is to be maintained around the structure. The general welfare may be injured if practical difficulties specific to the property are not found.

2. The use and value of the area adjacent to the property included in the variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner; and

It is not expected that this variance will adversely affect the value and use of the area adjacent to the property as similar properties in the LR district have similar encroachments, nor would the proposed setback impair access around the property or to the surrounding properties.

3. The strict application of the terms of the Ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. This situation shall not be self-imposed, nor be based on a perceived reduction or restriction of economic gain.

The strict application of the Ordinance terms might result in a practical difficulty as the 15' setback standard would be out of line for most of the adjacent homes. Additionally, the petitioner proposes to maintain a reasonable setback while avoiding the grading and fill that could be required, due to the 25%± slope, if the shed is shifted southward.

## **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS ACTION**

Findings o	of Fact	Crite	ria								
Vote:	Gre	en	Sho	eiss	Wilk	inson	W	olf	Wr	ight	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Criterion 1											N.
Criterion 2				 		1		 		-	
Criterion 3						i i					
	_	STATE OF THE COLUMN ASSESSMENT			100000000000000000000000000000000000000	A		J-10.70-004000000000		2101010101010101010101	
Motion	Gran	t									
Motion:			ondit	ions							
	_ Gran _ Gran _ Deny	it w/c	ondit	ions				E	By:		Second by:
	_ Gran	it w/c		ions e <b>iss</b>	Wilk	inson	W	olf		ight	Second by:
	_ Gran _ Deny	it w/c			Wilk	inson	w			ight	Second by:
Vote:	_ Gran _ Deny	it w/c			Wilk	inson	W			ight	Second by:



