## WHITLEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS STAFF REPORT

23-W-VAR-21 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE

Travis Johnson

2020 E. Linker Road

SEPTEMBER 26, 2023 AGENDA ITEM: 3

## **SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL**

Current zoning: LR, Lake Residential

Property area: 0.39 ± acre

The petitioners, owners of the subject property, are requesting a development standards variance for an encroachment into the required rear setback at 2020 E. Linker Road for placement of a storage building. The property is located on the south side of Linker Road, ½ mile west of Old State Road 102, in Section 2 of Thorncreek Township.

The petitioner has proposed constructing a new  $24' \times 24'$  shed structure on the northwest side of the property to replace an existing  $18'\times30'$  building constructed in 2015. That structure was erroneously placed  $18'\pm$  from the edge of pavement instead of the right-of-way, placing it roughly on the right-of-way. Thus, it was noncompliant with the 15' minimum rear (road) setback.

The new structure will be located approximately 20' from the edge of pavement, or about 4.5' from the right-of-way line. It will maintain a 5' side yard setback along the west property line.

The proposed request is for a 4.5' rear (road) setback, resulting in a 10.5' variance.

## **REVIEW CRITERIA**

Indiana Code §36-7-4-918.5 and Section 10.10 of the Zoning Code state the criteria listed below upon which the Board must base its review. Staff's comments/proposed findings of fact under each criterion.

1. The approval will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community;

The proposed variance will not likely be injurious to the public safety, health, and morals as the proposed structure maintains at least some setback from the road and allows access around the property. Also, such structures exist around the area with encroachments and not apparent injury. However, the general welfare may be injured if practical difficulties specific to the property are not found.

2. The use and value of the area adjacent to the property included in the variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner; and

This variance likely would not adversely affect the value of the area adjacent to the property, as the similar structures with similar encroachments exist in the vicinity. The placement of the proposed building would not likely affect the use of the adjacent properties as it should still permit adequate visibility for the adjacent driveways and maintains the minimum side setback.

3. The strict application of the terms of the Ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. This situation shall not be self-imposed, nor be based on a perceived reduction or restriction of economic gain.

The strict application of the Ordinance terms probably does result in practical difficulties. The lot starts to slope significantly at the south line of the house, so reasonable placement of any structure would be along or north of the house. The need for adequate room for vehicle maneuvering on the existing driveway to enter the building pushes the structure closer to the road. Alternatively, if the building

were to comply with the setback line, it would be more likely that vehicles would need to back into the road to access the building.

Date report prepared: 9/15/23

## **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS ACTION**

| Findings o  | f Fact  | Crite | ria    |      |           |      |      |     |                   |     |            |
|-------------|---------|-------|--------|------|-----------|------|------|-----|-------------------|-----|------------|
| Vote:       | Green   |       | Sheiss |      | Wilkinson |      | Wolf |     | Wright            |     |            |
|             | Yes     | No    | Yes    | No   | Yes       | No   | Yes  | No  | Yes               | No  |            |
| Criterion 1 |         |       |        |      |           |      |      |     |                   |     |            |
| Criterion 2 | 12      |       |        |      |           |      | 1    |     |                   |     |            |
| Criterion 3 |         |       |        |      |           |      |      |     |                   |     |            |
| Motion:     | _ Gran  | t     |        |      |           |      |      |     |                   |     |            |
|             | _ Grant |       | ondit  | ions |           |      |      |     |                   |     |            |
|             | _ Deny  |       |        |      | ****      |      | B    |     | y:                |     | Second by: |
| Vote:       | Gree    | en    | She    | eiss | Wilki     | nson | W    | olf | Wr                | ght |            |
| Yes         |         |       |        | Sec. |           |      |      |     |                   |     |            |
| No          |         |       |        |      |           |      |      |     | Nanamenti Elikuwa |     |            |
| Abstain     |         |       |        |      |           |      |      |     |                   |     |            |



