WHITLEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS STAFF REPORT

23-W-VAR-18 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE

William & Tracy Burdine 6404 S. 950 West

AUGUST 22, 2023 AGENDA ITEM: 3

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

Current zoning: AG, Agriculture Property area: 8.891± acre

The petitioner, owner of the subject property, is requesting a development standards variance for encroachment into the front setback for construction of a deck. The property is located at 6404 South 950 West in Section 7 of Cleveland Township.

The petitioner proposes to construct a 10'x20' deck on the east façade of the residence. The existing setback of the house is 34.4'± from the right-of-way line (54.4' from the road centerline), with an 8' deep stoop porch that would be approximately 26.7'± from the right-of-way. As proposed, the deck would have a setback of 24.4'±. The minimum front setback being 40', the requested encroachment is 15.6'.

REVIEW CRITERIA

Indiana Code §36-7-4-918.5 and Section 10.10 of the Zoning Code state the criteria listed below upon which the Board must base its review. Staff's comments/proposed findings of fact under each criterion.

1. The approval will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community;

The proposed variance will not likely be injurious to the public health or morals, as decks and porches with setbacks such as the proposed exist throughout the zoning district without injurious effect. A 24' setback is likely sufficient for an open deck to maintain a safe distance from the road, especially as the existing porch and landscaping already extend roughly the same distance into the setback. However, as with any variance, the general welfare may be injured by degradation of the effectiveness of the zoning code if there are not site-specific difficulties for the variance.

2. The use and value of the area adjacent to the property included in the variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner; and

It is not expected that this variance will adversely affect the value of the area adjacent to the property as the surrounding area is largely unimproved or has similar encroachments. Use should also not be affected, due to the distance of the proposed deck from adjacent properties and maintaining what should be an adequate front setback.

3. The strict application of the terms of the Ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. This situation shall not be self-imposed, nor be based on a perceived reduction or restriction of economic gain.

The strict application of the Ordinance terms may or may not result in practical difficulties. The property is constrained by the river bluff and floodplain along the north side of the residence, which precludes the location of any structure on that side. The location and internal configuration of the legal nonconforming residence further restricts the potential placement of any additions, likely making the location of the proposed deck reasonable.

Date report prepared: 8/15/23

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS ACTION

Findings o	f Fact	t Crite	ria							
Vote:	Green		Sheiss		Wilkinson		Wolf		Wright	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Criterion 1				!			18.2			
Criterion 2				! !				!		
Criterion 3		i i		i i			2010	l I		
3.5	_					<u> </u>				
Motion	Grai	nt								
Motion: _			condit	ions						
	_ Gra	nt w/c	condit	ions				F	By:	
_	_ Grai _ Den	nt w/o		ions e iss	Wilk	inson	W	E 'olf		ight
	_ Grai _ Den	nt w/o			Wilk	inson	w			ght
Vote:	_ Grai _ Den	nt w/o			Wilk	inson	W			ght





