### WHITLEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS STAFF REPORT

23-W-VAR-6

**DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE** 

APRIL 25, 2023 AGENDA ITEM: 2

Jonathan & Deanon Morales

7486 N. Etna Road

#### **SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL**

Current zoning: GC, General Commercial Property area: 11,026 sq. ft. (0.258± acre)

The petitioners, owners of the subject property, are requesting a development standards variance for an encroachment into the required front setback at 7486 N. Etna Road for new construction of a dwelling to replace an existing dwelling due to fire damage. The property is located on the east side of Etna Road, 140 feet south of 750 North, in section 35 of Etna Troy Township, on Lot 11 of the Original Plat of Etna.

The proposed front building line will remain the same as the existing home. However, by changing the location and footprint, the legal nonconforming status is lost, and it triggers the need for a variance.

The new location would shift south and result in the north side yard setback being in conformance with the current code and increase the distance from the neighboring structure to the north. The proposed and required setbacks are shown in the below table, with the front being the only requiring a variance:

	Proposed	Minimum	Variance
North (side)	16'	10'	NA
East (rear)	80'	20'	NA
South (side)	16'	10'	NA
West (front)	30'	40'	10'

Also, note that single-family dwellings are permissible as special exceptions under the GC zoning, but the existing dwelling does not have. So, the existing dwelling has been a legal nonconforming use. This legal nonconformance status is planned to be retained as it is permissible under the requirements of §9.5(F) as the use has not been discontinued in excess of two years.

### **REVIEW CRITERIA**

Indiana Code §36-7-4-918.5 and Section 10.10 of the Zoning Code state the criteria listed below upon which the Board must base its review. Staff's comments/proposed findings of fact under each criterion.

# 1. The approval will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community;

The proposed variance will not likely be injurious to the public safety, health, and morals as the proposed setbacks generally match the setbacks of existing structures in the area, which frequently have legal nonconformances or variances. General welfare may be injured if practical difficulties specific to the property are not found.

## 2. The use and value of the area adjacent to the property included in the variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner; and

It is not expected that this variance will adversely affect the use or value of the area adjacent to the property as the variance will permit the reconstruction of a new dwelling approximately in the same location as an existing dwelling but with greater zoning compliance. As the construction is otherwise a new investment in the area, the value of the surrounding area may actually be positively affected.

3. The strict application of the terms of the Ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. This situation shall not be self-imposed, nor be based on a perceived reduction or restriction of economic gain.

The strict application of the Ordinance terms likely will result in practical difficulties. The siting of the new dwelling is restricted from being moved to the east due to the location of its septic system and the setback required by the Health Department.

Date report prepared: 4/17/23

### **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS ACTION**

			BUAKI	D OF ZONI	NG APPEALS	
Findings o	of Fact Crit	eria				
Vote:	Green	Sheiss	Wilkinson Wol		f Wright	
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	
Criterion 1						
Criterion 2						
Criterion 3						
Motion: _	Grant					
		conditions	}			
	_ Deny				By:	
Vote:	Green	Sheiss	Wilkinson	Wolf	Wright	
Yes						
No						



