

Marshall County

# of Farms Regulated By IDEM	# of CAFO's	# of CFO's	Rank	Crop land acres	Rank	# Acres for land application	Rank	# of animal units
15	2	13	32	155,981	41	2,979	40	12,631

Residential Setback from CFO

Buffers	1320' Except for Farm house							
School	None							
Screening/ landscape standards	None							
Minimum lot size	YES, Shelterbelt minimum of 2 rows of trees							
Existing Violation Clause	None							
Population Density	5 years unless Resolved							
Housing unit Density	106.1							
Reciprocal buffer	44.7							
Municipal Boundry	Yes							
Site Plan required	None							
Approval Method	YES							
Well / Water shed Standards	Permitted use with Additional provisions							
Manure Standards	YES							
Transportation Standards	Yes, Transportation plan required							

Tippecanoe County

# of Farms Regulated By IDEM	# of CAFO's	# of CFO's	Rank	Crop land acres	Rank	# Acres for land application	Rank	# of animal units
29	7	22	25	199,795	17	4,822	25	30,326

Residential Setback from CFO

Buffers	50'-60' depending on road type							
School	1000'							
Screening/ landscape standards	1000'							
Minimum lot size	No							
Existing Violation Clause	No							
Population Density	366.2							
Housing unit Density	143.4							
Reciprocal buffer	Yes							
Municipal Boundry	1000'							
Site Plan required	NO							
Approval Method	Permitted use with Additional provisions							
Well / Water shed Standards	No							

Manure Standards No
 Transportation Standards No

Wabash County

# of Farms Regulated By IDEM	# of CAFO's	# of CFO's	Rank	Crop land acres	Rank	# Acres for land application	Rank	# of animal units
80	25	55	4	180,439	24	21,373	3	128,520

Residential Setback from CFO

Buffers 300' From Property line
 1320'/1600'
 School 2640'
 Screening/ landscape standards if Structure is <than 500' from public road of property line
 Minimum lot size 3 Acres, CAFO's only
 Existing Violation Clause Yes, no unresolved Environmental
 Population Density 78.1
 Housing unit Density 34.2
 Reciprocal buffer Yes
 Municipal Boundary Specific to each Municipality, or unincorporated area
 Site Plan required NO
 Approval Method Permitted use, CAFO's have additional provisions
 Well / Water shed Standards NO
 Manure Standards NO
 Transportation Standards NO

Whitley County

# of Farms Regulated By IDEM	# of CAFO's	# of CFO's	Rank	Crop land acres	Rank	# Acres for land application	Rank	# of animal units
32	5	27	21	118,326	60	4,097	32	26,280

Residential Setback from CFO

Buffers None
 School None
 Screening/ landscape standards None
 Minimum lot size None
 Existing Violation Clause None
 Population Density 99.5
 Housing unit Density 42.6
 Reciprocal buffer None
 Municipal Boundary None

Site Plan required

Approval Methods

Well / Water shed Standards

Manure Standards

Transportation Standards

Yes

Permitted use NO additional Provisions

None

None

None

County	Area (sq. miles)	Land (sq. miles)	Water (sq. miles)	Population	CFO	Livestock Count
Whitley	337.91	335.57 (99.31%)	2.34 (0.69%)	33,330	28	50,816
Noble	417.43	410.84 (98.42%)	6.59 (1.58%)	47,536	24	386,122
Kosciusko	554.39	531.38 (95.85 %)	23.01 (4.15%)	77,358	74	3,628,663
Noble County has 1.22 times the land area of Whitley County, Kosciusko County has 1.58 time the land area of Whitley County						
Multiplying Whitley County's population by 1.22 yields 40,662 people. So Noble is 1.17 times more densely populated						
Multiplying Kosciusko County's population by 1.58 yields 52,661 people. So Kosciusko is 1.47 times more densely populated						
Multiplying Whitley County's livestock by 1.22 yields 61,995. So Noble has 6.23 times more livestock than Whitley adjusted						
Multiplying Whitley County's livestock by 1.58 yields 80,289. So Kosciusko has 45 times more livestock than Whitley adjusted						
Kosciusko is 4th in the state in Ag sales \$						